UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,779	05/09/2006	Jacques Leclercq	289274US6PCT	6166
	7590 09/18/2009 ON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. DUKE STREET XANDRIA, VA 22314	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE ST	REET	,	SZEWCZYK, CYNTHIA	
ALEAANDRIA	A, VA 22514	22314		PAPER NUMBER
			1791	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/18/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Interview Summary	10/578,779	LECLERCQ ET AL.	
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CYNTHIA SZEWCZYK	1791	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>CYNTHIA SZEWCZYK</u> .	(3) <u>LEE STEPINA</u> .		
(2) <u>STEVEN GRIFFIN</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 10 September 2009.			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>29</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>NEDELEC</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.	g)□ was not reached. h)□ N	J/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed how NEDELEC. Examiner agrees that new limitations overcome search and consideration. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where not allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE AINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPUTE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPUTEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPUTEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTA	w newly added limitations difference previous prior art rejection be described which the examiner agroup of the amendments that ved.) ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE LE LAST OFFICE ACTION HONTH OR THIRTY FERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	reed would render the sould render the sould render the been filed, APP of DAYS FROM TWHICHEVER IS	er the claims claims Claims OF THE LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
/Cynthia Szewczyk/ Examiner Art Unit 1791			

Application No.

Applicant(s)